ROBUST SYNTHESIS OF TIME-DELAY SYSTEMS

Vladimir L. Kharitonov

Dept. Applied Mathematics and Control Theory St. Petersburg State University, Russia

Abstract. This paper considers the problem of robust stabilization for a class of time-delay systems which involve affine parametric perturbations. We first provide a result for testing the robust stability of such a system, and subsequently apply it in the robust stabilization problem for a family of interval plants with time-delays.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a family of time-delay plants whose transfer function coefficients are subject to affine perturbations, i.e., the coefficients belong to a given polytope. It is known that the exponential stability of the family of plants can be determined from that of the edges of the polytope [1]. We point out that the test usually does not involve all edges, and the elimination of unnecessary edges is simple. In fact, this can be done by checking whether a given edge is a so-called "convex direction" [2]. We devise a simple sufficient condition for determining the convex directions. This result is then applied to the robust synthesis problem for a family of interval plants with time-delays and a compensator of first order or with a special structure.

2. Robust Stability Analysis

Consider a single-input-single-output time-delay system with its transfer function described by

$$G(s) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{ki} s^{n-k} e^{\tau_i s}}{d_0(s) e^{\tau_0 s} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ki} s^{n-k} e^{\tau_i s}}$$
(1)

where a_{ki} and b_{ki} are real constants, $d_0(s)$ is a monic nth order polynomial and $\tau_0 \geq \tau_1 > \cdots > \tau_m = 0$. The characteristic quasipolynomial of G(s) is given by

$$f(s) = d_0(s)e^{\tau_0 s} + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ki} s^{n-k} e^{\tau_i s}$$
 (2)

We consider the robust stability problem where the characteristic quasipolynomial is contained in a polytope defined as follows:

$$P = \{f(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j f_j(s) | \mu_j \ge 0, \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j = 1\}$$
 (3)

Minyue Fu

Dept. Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Newcastle, NSW 2308, Australia

where $f_j(s)$ are quasipolynomials in form of (2). A subset P_1 of P is called a *testing set* for P if the stability of all the quasipolynomials in P_1 implies that in P. Then, an important problem is how to reduce P to a minimal testing set.

The first useful reduction was done in [1] which provides a generalization of the Edge Theorem [3] to the quasipolynomial case. The result of [1] shows that a polytope of quasipolynomials P in (3) is robustly stable if and only if all the edges of P are robustly stable. Therefore, the key problem now is how to test the robust stability of an edge. One possibility is to use a graphical test proposed in [1]. Alternatively, one can first use a recent result in [2] to test whether the robust stability of the edge can be deduced from the stability of its vertices. Note that an edge quasipolynomial takes the following general form:

$$f(s) = \mu f_i(s) + (1-\mu)f_j(s) = f_j(s) + \mu g(s), \ \mu \in [0, 1](4)$$

where $g(s) = f_i(s) - f_j(s)$. Then, the result in [2] can be stated as follows:

Lemma 1. Given any quasipolynomials $f_i(s)$ and $f_j(s)$ in form of (2), suppose the following inequality holds for all $\omega > 0$ where the derivative of $\arg g(j\omega)$ is well defined:

$$\frac{d\arg g(j\omega)}{d\omega} \le \frac{\tau_0}{2} + \left| \frac{\sin(2\arg g(j\omega) - \tau_0\omega)}{2\omega} \right|. \tag{5}$$

Then, the stability of $f_i(s)$ and $f_j(s)$ implies that of every convex combination of them.

The following theorem derived from Lemma 1 is important in the robust synthesis problem to be studied later (see [4] for proof).

Theorem 2. Suppose in Lemma 1, $g(s) = g_0(s)e^{\tau s}$, where $g_0(s)$ is a convex direction for polynomials, i.e., $g_0(s)$ is a polynomial satisfying [5]

$$\frac{d\arg g_0(j\omega)}{d\omega} \le \left| \frac{\sin(2\arg g_0(j\omega))}{2\omega} \right|. \tag{6}$$

for all $\omega > 0$, where the derivative of $\arg g_0(j\omega)$ is well defined. Then, g(s) satisfies (5) for all $\omega > 0$, where the derivative of $\arg g(j\omega)$ is well defined, if and only if $\tau < r_0/2$.

Remark 1. It is known that the condition (6) can be tested using Routh-like tables [6]. In particular, all first order polynomials are convex directions [5]. This point is important because the condition (5) cannot be tested as easily.

3. Robust Synthesis

Consider an "interval plant family" as follows:

$$G = \{G(s) : a_{ki}^{-} \le a_{ki} \le a_{ki}^{+}; b_{ki}^{-} \le b_{ki} \le b_{ki}^{+}, \\ 1 \le i \le m; 1 \le k \le n\}$$
(7)

where G(s) is given in (1) and the bounds a_{ki}^+ , a_{ki}^- , b_{ki}^+ , b_{ki}^- are prescribed. Our task is to find a linear time-invariant dynamic output feedback compensator

$$u(s) = G_c(s)y(s) = \frac{n_c(s)}{d_c(s)}y(s)$$
 (8)

such that the closed-loop system is robustly stable. Here, $n_c(s)$ and $d_c(s)$ are coprime polynomials. To this end, we define four extreme polynomials:

$$n_i^{(1)}(s) = b_{ni}^- + b_{(n-1)i}^- s + b_{(n-2)i}^+ s^2 + b_{(n-3)i}^+ s^3 + \cdots$$

$$n_i^{(2)}(s) = b_{ni}^- + b_{(n-1)i}^+ s + b_{(n-2)i}^+ s^2 + b_{(n-3)i}^- s^3 + \cdots$$

$$n_i^{(3)}(s) = b_{ni}^+ + b_{(n-1)i}^- s + b_{(n-2)i}^- s^2 + b_{(n-3)i}^+ s^3 + \cdots$$

$$n_i^{(4)}(s) = b_{ni}^+ + b_{(n-1)i}^+ s + b_{(n-2)i}^- s^2 + b_{(n-3)i}^- s^3 + \cdots$$

and $d_i^{(j)}(s), j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, in a similar way.

Given the interval plant family in (7), let $1 \le \ell \le m$ be the least integer with $2\tau_{\ell} \le \tau_0$ and define

$$\hat{G}_{1}(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} n_{i}(s, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}) e^{\tau_{i}s} + \sum_{i=\ell}^{m} n_{i}^{(\nu_{i})}(s) e^{\tau_{i}s}}{d_{0}(s) e^{\tau_{0}s} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i}^{(\mu_{i})}(s) e^{\tau_{i}s}}$$
(9)

$$\hat{G}_{2}(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}^{(\nu_{i})}(s)e^{\tau_{i}s}}{d_{0}(s)e^{\tau_{0}s} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} d_{i}(s, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i})e^{\tau_{i}s} + \sum_{i=\ell}^{m} d_{i}^{(\mu_{i})}(s)e^{\tau_{i}s}} (10)$$

where $\nu_i, \mu_i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 0 \le \alpha_i, \beta_i \le 1$,

$$n_i(s, \alpha_i, \beta_i) = n_i^{(1)} + \alpha_i(n_i^{(2)} - n_i^{(1)}) + \beta_i(n_i^{(3)} - n_i^{(1)})(11)$$

and $d(s, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$ are similarly defined. We further denote by E the four edges of the box $\{(x, y) : 0 \le x, y \le 1\}$. Define two subfamilies of \mathcal{G} :

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{sub},k} = \{\hat{G}_k(s) : \nu_i, \mu_i = 1, 2, 3, 4; (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \in E\}$$
 (12)

for k = 1, 2. By applying Theorem 2, we obtain the following robust synthesis result (see [4] for proof):

Theorem 3. Given an interval plant faimily G in (7) and a compensator $G_c(s)$ in (8), suppose both $n_c(s)$ and $d_c(s)$ are convex directions for polynomials. Then, $G_c(s)$ robustly stabilizes the family G if and only if it robustly stabilizes the following subfamily:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{sub}} = \mathcal{G}_{\text{sub},1} \cup \mathcal{G}_{\text{sub},2}. \tag{13}$$

In the special case when m = 1, i.e.,

$$G(s) = \frac{n(s)}{d_0(s)e^{rs} + d(s)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k s^{n-k}}{d_0(s)e^{rs} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k s^{n-k}}, (14)$$

where $\tau \geq 0$, then Theorem 3 reduces to a generalization of an extreme point result in [7] (see [4] for proof):

Corollary 4. Given an interval plant faimily

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G(s) : a_k^- \le a_k \le a_k^+; b_k^- \le b_k \le b_k^+, 1 \le k \le n\} (15)$$

with G(s) in (14), and compensator $G_c(s)$, suppose both $n_c(s)$ and $d_c(s)$ are convex directions for polynomials. Then, $G_c(s)$ robustly stabilizes the family $\mathcal G$ if and only if it robustly stabilizes the following sixteen plants:

$$G_{ij}(s) = \frac{n^{(i)}(s)}{d_0(s)e^{\tau s} + d^{(j)}(s)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

where $n^{(i)}(s)$ and $d^{(j)}(s)$ are the extreme polynomials of n(s) and d(s). Furthermore, if

$$G_c(s) = K \frac{s+a}{s^k(s+b)}, \quad K, a, b > 0; k = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (16)

with a < b (lead compensator) (resp. a > b (lag compensator)), then $G_c(s)$ robustly stabilizes the family $\mathcal G$ if and only if it robustly stabilizes the following eight plants: $G_{11}, G_{13}, G_{21}, G_{22}, G_{33}, G_{34}, G_{42}, G_{44}$ (resp. $G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{22}, G_{24}, G_{31}, G_{33}, G_{43}, G_{44}$).

REFERENCES

- M. Fu, A. W. Olbrot, and M. P. Polis, "Robust stability for time-delay systems: the edge theorem and graphical tests", *IEEE Trans. Auto. Contr.*, vol. 34, no. 8, pp. 813-820, Aug. 1989.
- [2] V. L. Kharitonov and A. P. Zhabko, "Stability of the families of quasipolynomials", Automatica (Kiev), no. 2, pp. 3-15, 1992.
- [3] A. C. Bartlett, C. V. Hollot, and H. Lin, "Root locations of an entire polytope of polynomials: It suffices to check the edges", Mathematics of Control, Signals and Systems, vol. 1, pp. 61-71, 1988.
- [4] V. L. Kharitonov and M. Fu, "Robust Synthesis of Time-delay Systems". Tech. Report, Dept. Electrical and Comp. Eng., Univ. Newcastle, 1993.
- [5] A. Rantzer, "Stability for polytopes of polynomials". IEEE Trans. Auto. Contr., vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 79-89, 1992.
- [6] M. Fu, "Test of convex directions for robust stability". IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control, 1993.
- [7] B. R. Barmish, et. al., "Extreme point results for robust stabilization of interval plants with first order compensators", IEEE Trans. Auto. Contr., vol. AC-37, no. 6, pp. 707-714, 1992.