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Abstract—The design and characterization of a fast flexure-based, parallel-kinematics XYZ scanner for atomic force microscopy is presented in this article. The objective of the project is to design a AFM scanner with the ability to scan an image at high-speed and high resolution. Finite-element analysis was used to optimize the scanner’s design in order to achieve high resonance frequencies. Experimental results show that the scanner has resonance frequencies of 10kHz at the X, Y and Z axes. To evaluate the performance of the scanner, it was installed into a NT-MDT microscope to obtain images. A 3.5µm × 3.5µm image of a calibration grating was successfully recorded up to a scan speed of 625Hz in open-loop. Simulations show that the scanner can be operated up to 1025Hz with no oscillations observed in the fast axis. The scan rate can be further increased by means of feedback or feedforward control. The device outperforms commercial AFM scanners that typically work at scan frequencies below 20-30Hz.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Atomic Force Microscope (AFM) is one of the most versatile tools for imaging at extremely high magnification. It has resulted in breakthroughs in areas ranging from biomaterials to high-density data storage systems [2]. A typical AFM consists of a cantilever with a sharp probe at one end, a XYZ scanner, a laser and a position sensitive photodiode (PSD). The laser source in the scanning unit is focused at the free end of the cantilever and the PSD captures the laser beam reflected by the cantilever. When operated in constant force contact mode, the cantilever is slowly brought into contact with a sample. The probe-sample interaction causes the cantilever to deflect. This deflection is measured and compared with the preset deflection value. The scanner, on which the sample is placed, is then moved in the Z-direction to reduce the difference between the measured and preset value by means of vertical feedback controls. After the cantilever “lands” on the sample, the scanner is actuated in a zig-zag raster pattern. The vertical feedback controller is used to maintain the cantilever deflection, therefore maintaining the probe-sample interaction force during rastering. A topological image of the sample is constructed from the electrical signal used to drive the Z-axis of the scanner (see Fig. 1).

Constant force contact mode AFM has been widely used to obtain high-resolution images of protein and blood cells [1]. The main disadvantage of this mode is its low scan speed. Conventional AFMs typically take a minute or more to record a high resolution image [3]. This clearly inadequate for imaging biological cells that move in milliseconds.

There are many factors limiting the scan speed of an AFM. These factors include: (i) the resonance frequency of the cantilever probe [1], (ii) the resonance frequency of the scanner [4], (iii) the bandwidth of the vertical feedback loop that controls the probe-sample interaction force [1], [5], and (iv) the data acquisition system [4].
Piezoelectric tube scanners are the most widely used nanopositioning mechanism in commercial AFMs. They have the lowest resonance frequencies compared to other AFM components such as cantilever probes. A typical piezoelectric tube scanner has a first mechanical resonance frequency of no more than 1kHz. During a raster scan, a triangular waveform is applied to the fast axis and a ramp, or staircase, signal is applied to the slow axis. The triangular waveform contains high-frequency harmonics of the scan frequency. These high-harmonic components tend to excite the resonance frequency of the piezoelectric tube scanner. The low resonant mode of the tube scanner becomes the bottleneck limitation to the AFM speed. Recently, a number of commercial AFMs has appeared in the market that are equipped with flexure-based nanopositioning platforms. Although, overall these platforms have mechanical properties superior to tubes, their scan speeds are similarly limited due to their low first resonance frequencies.

This paper reports the design of a fast parallel-kinematic AFM scanner for high resolution imaging. The main design objectives are to increase the scanner resonance frequencies in X, Y and Z axes and to minimize the cross-coupling effect among the three scan axes. High-speed serial-kinematic scanners have been developed for AFM imaging [1], [6]. While these scanners are suitable for raster scans, they cannot be used for emerging non-raster scan methods, e.g. [7]. A high-speed parallel-kinematic scanner was presented in Ref. [4]. The scanner has high resonant modes, but it contains 9 piezoelectric stack actuators. Each actuator needs to be driven by a dedicated high voltage amplifier. The design reported in [4], is thus more expensive and bulkier. The parallel XYZ scanner presented in this article is much more compact, consisting of only three piezoelectric stack actuators.

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the AFM system and the proposed XYZ scanner are described. A discussion of design considerations and finite-element-analysis of the XYZ scanner are presented in Sec. III. Sec. IV presents the characterization of the scanner by presenting its frequency responses, hysteresis effect and the cross-couplings associated with its three axes. The imaging capability of the scanner is presented in Sec. V.

II. THE FAST AFM SCANNER

The proposed AFM scanner consists of a XY nanopositioner, a Z nanopositioner, a base, three piezoelectric stack actuators, two capacitive sensors and a sensor target. The assembly and exploded views of the scanner are shown in Fig. 2. The scanner is designed to fit into a NT-MDT NTERGA scanning probe microscope (SPM) shown in Fig. 3. The XYZ scanner is driven by three external voltage amplifiers PiezoDrive PDL200. This amplifier has a gain of 20 and selectable maximum output voltages of 60V, 150V and 200V.

The SPM is configured to operate as an AFM. The AFM’s software limits the image resolution relative to scanning speed. At the highest resolution (256×256 scan lines) the fastest achievable scanning frequency is limited to 31Hz. This limits the performance of the XYZ scanner proposed in this work. Therefore, a dSPACE-1103 rapid prototyping system (which has a maximum sampling rate of 80kHz) is used to generate the X and Y signals and to record the deflection of the cantilever. The Z signal was controlled using the AFM’s software and circuitry. With this setup, the modified AFM can be operated at a scan rate of 625Hz.

III. DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND FINITE-ELEMENT MODELING

A. Flexure-based design

The XYZ scanner has a flexible mechanical structure where nanoscale motions are generated through elastic deformation of flexures [8]. With the absence of moving and sliding joints, the scanner provides repeatable and smooth motions without problems such as wear, backlash and friction. This characteristic is highly desirable for nanopositioning devices.

Each motion axis of the XYZ scanner is driven by a piezoelectric stack actuator that is capable of providing high acceleration and large force. For the XY scanner, the central platform (where the Z scanner is attached) is held by leaf-spring flexures (see Fig. 4a). The flexures are arranged in such a way so that they are rigid along the direction of motion and are flexible in the axis perpendicular to the motion [9]. As a result, these leaf-spring flexures are used to guide the motion of each axis, and to simultaneously reduce the cross-coupling effect from X-to-Y and vice versa. Other decoupling techniques can be found in Refs. [10], [11].

The Z scanner consists of two identical sets of leaf-spring flexures located at both sides of the Z scanner. A piezoelectric stack actuator is located at the middle of the scanner as shown in Fig. 4b. The leaf-spring flexures are used to only provide vertical motions along the Z axis. Motions along other axes are restraint by the flexures.

B. Parallel-kinematic structure

To achieve high-speed scanning, it is required to design a scanner with high resonance frequencies. The XY and
The resonance frequency of the scanner is also dependent on the material used. Material properties considered to be of great importance are the Young’s modulus of elasticity ($E$) and density ($\rho$). A material with high $E$ to $\rho$ ratio is preferred because a stiff and light material will increase the mechanical stiffness and bandwidth of the scanner.

The motion accuracy of nanopositioning scanners depend on the machining quality of flexures. For example, the flexures of the Z scanner in Fig. 4b have to be as identical as possible to avoid parasitic motions caused by uneven stiffness of flexures. Wire-electrical-discharge-machining (WEDM) is one of the most frequently used machining techniques for fabricating flexures with high precision. WEDM provides cuts with high accuracy and a good surface finish. Materials which have sufficient electrical conductivity to facilitate the WEDM are considered to be highly desirable.

Different materials that satisfy above criteria were considered for the fabrication of the XYZ scanner. Table I compares properties of three candidate materials. Note that all the three materials have sufficient electrical conductivity for WEDM. Titanium alloy has a similar $E/\rho$ ratio compared to Aluminium alloy. However it is more difficult to be machined. Invar alloy has a lower $E/\rho$ value. As a result, Aluminium alloy (Al7075) was chosen for fabricating the XYZ scanner.

### Table I: A comparison of different material properties [13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$E$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\rho$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>$E/\rho$</th>
<th>Machinability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium alloy (1075)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium alloy (Grade 5)</td>
<td>106-114</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>24-26</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invar alloy (Invar 36)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II: Specifications of the piezoelectric stack actuators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Piezo stack</th>
<th>Dimension mm</th>
<th>Disp. $\mu$m</th>
<th>Res. freq. kHz</th>
<th>Capa. nF</th>
<th>Blocking Force N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X, Y</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10$</td>
<td>11.8 @200V</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 4.5 \times 5$</td>
<td>4.6 @150V</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D. Piezoelectric stack actuator

A piezoelectric stack actuator is constructed by gluing multiple thin layers of piezoelectric ceramics together. Therefore, piezoelectric stack actuators are highly sensitive to tensile (pulling) forces. During high-speed scanning, a piezoelectric stack actuator experiences pushing or pulling forces due to the inertial of its mass and any additional mass it carries. Therefore, preloads must be applied to compensate for the tensile force, and to consequently protecting the piezoelectric stack actuator. For the XYZ scanner proposed in this article, preloads are applied by pressing the piezoelectric stack against the flexures using fasteners. The preload applied to the piezoelectric stack is approximately 100N.

The electrical aspect of the piezoelectric stack actuator was also taken into consideration to facilitate high-speed operations of the scanner. As reported in [6], a large current is required to drive a piezoelectric stack actuator (which has a large capacitance) at high-speed. This may exceed the current limit of an amplifier. Therefore, the technique proposed in [6] was used to evaluate the feasibility of the chosen piezoelectric stack actuator in this article. The piezoelectric stack actuator that was deemed suitable for the XY scanner is the Noliac SCMAP07 ($5 \times 5 \times 10$mm, 380nF). For the Z scanner, a small Nec-Tokin AE0203D04 piezoelectric stack actuator ($3.5 \times 4.5 \times 5$mm, 90nF) is used to minimize weight. Detailed technical specifications of the piezoelectric stack actuators are shown in Table II. The PiezoDrive PDL200 amplifier (which can deliver an average current of 0.1A) was used to drive the piezoelectric stacks. With this setup, it is estimated that the scanner can be driven with a triangular wave (at its full voltage range, i.e. 200V) up to the frequency of 1300Hz without exceeding the current limit of the amplifier. The equation used to estimate the maximum operating frequency is $f = 0.1/200C$ [14], where $C$ is the load capacitance.

### E. Finite-element-analysis of the XYZ scanner

The dimensions of all flexures were carefully considered in order to maximize the resonance frequencies and to minimize the cross-coupling effect of the scanner. ANSYS was used to conduct a number of design iterations until the desired design criteria was achieved. Constraints were applied to the four mounting holes (see Fig. 4a) to simulate the attachment of the scanner to the base. The finite-element (FE) model
of the scanner are shown in Fig. 4. The first resonant mode of both the X and Y axes appears at 20kHz, while the first resonant mode of the Z axis appears at 70kHz.

IV. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE XYZ SCANNER

A. Measured frequency responses

The frequency responses of the scanner were obtained using a HP 35670A dual channel spectrum analyzer. A swept sine input of 100mVp, with the frequency range of 100Hz to 20kHz, was applied to the piezoelectric stack amplifier. The scanner output displacements were measured using capacitive sensors (in volts). The frequency responses of the scanner are plotted in Fig. 5. The first resonance frequency appears at 10kHz in the X, Y and Z axes, which is different from the FE modeling results. An investigation was carried out to study the differences. It was revealed that the 10kHz resonant mode is attributed to the base of the scanner which was not simulated in the FE model. A new FE model taking into account the effect of the base was constructed. Simulations show that the two sensor mounting holes at the side of the base (see Fig. 2b) soften the structure, which in turn reduces the resonance frequency of the base significantly. The base design needs further considerations.

B. Hysteresis and cross-coupling measurements

The hysteretic behavior of piezoelectric stack actuators will often cause artifacts in an open-loop AFM scan. This artifact can be found in the AFM images reported in Sec. V. It is important to characterize the hysteresis effect associated with a scanner, so that a method may be applied to compensate for the hysteresis.

To identify the hysteresis loop, a 100Hz triangular waveform was applied to the X, Y and Z axes respectively. A voltage range of 0-200V was applied to the X and Y axes, while 0-120V was applied to the Z axis. Table III documents the maximum width of the hysteresis loop and its percentage over the displacement range of each axis. The hysteresis effect can be compensated using techniques such as feedforward control [15], [16]. Accurate hysteresis models such as Preisach model [17] and Prandtl-Ishlinskii operator [18] can be used in conjunction with feedforward techniques to minimize the hysteresis effect. Besides, charge amplifiers can also be used to linearize piezoelectric stack actuators [8], [19].

The cross-coupling effect among the three axes has been one of the complications that affects the quality of AFM images [20]. Fig. 6 plots the measured cross-coupling motions of the scanner. When a 200V peak-to-peak sine-wave was applied to the X axis, the induced Y and Z displacements were 1.58% and 3.4% of the X displacement respectively. By applying the sine-wave to the Y axis, it induces 0.5% and 2.9% in the X and Z displacements respectively. When the Z axis is being driven, it induces 0.61% in the X displacement and 0.45% in the Y displacement. The effect of these cross-coupling motions on AFM images can be reduced by implementing feedback control techniques [11], [20] or by post-processing image data offline [21].

V. ATOMIC FORCE MICROSCOPY IMAGING

The scanner was installed in the AFM to obtain images of a MikroMasch TDG01 calibration grating. The grating has parallel ridges with 278nm period and approximately 55nm height. 3.5µm×3.5µm images of the grating were recorded in constant force contact mode at 10Hz (256×256 lines), 200Hz (200×200 lines) and 312.5Hz (128×128 lines). Due to the bandwidth limitation of the data acquisition system, the scan lines had to be reduced as the scan rate increases. To obtain an image with an acceptable resolution at 625Hz (64×64 lines), the size of the image had to be reduced to 1.5µm×1.5µm.

During a typical raster scan, a triangular waveform is applied to the fast axis and a staircase, or ramp, signal is applied to the slow axis. However, the triangular waveform contains all odd harmonics of the fundamental frequency.

![Fig. 5: Frequency responses of the XYZ scanner.](image-url)

![Table III: Measured hysteresis curves.](table-url)
Harmonic components with their frequency contents near the resonance frequency of the scanner will induce vibration in the structure, which in turn introduce artifacts on the scanned images [22].

An optimal signal [23] which has a minimal frequency content above the chosen $K^{th}$ harmonic was used to drive the fast axis of the scanner during rastering. Fig. 7 shows the simulated scanner output displacements when it is driven by an optimal ($K=7$) and a triangular signal at 625Hz and 1025Hz, respectively. The optimal input signal improves the scan-induced oscillations at high speeds compared to the triangular signal but produces less range. However, the objective of this work is to achieve fast AFM imaging in constant force contact mode. The optimal signal was deemed suitable to drive the X-axis of the scanner for high-speed scans. Simulations show that an 1025Hz optimal signal can be used to drive the X-axis of the scanner without inducing vibrations to the output displacement (see Fig. 7b). However, due to the limitation of our data acquisition system, we were unable to generate an image at this line rate.

Fig. 8 shows the scan images of the grating together with their X and Z axis time signals. Images recorded at 200Hz and 312.5Hz have similar quality as that obtained at 10Hz. Oscillations were not noticeable in the image and time signal recorded at 625Hz.

Artifacts caused by the hysteresis effect of the piezoelectric stack actuators were observed in the images where features at the bottom end of the image (2D view) are wider than that at the top end. As discussed in Sec. IV-B, the hysteresis effect can be effectively reduced by feedforward or charge controls.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This article presented the design and characterization of a flexure-based XYZ scanner for fast atomic force microscopy. The scanner has resonant peaks at 10kHz for all three axes. It was installed into a commercial AFM to obtain images. A $3.5 \times 3.5 \ \mu m$ area of a calibration grating was scanned at 10Hz, 200Hz, 312.5Hz and 625Hz. Oscillations were not observed in the images and time signals. Simulations show that the scanner can be operated at 1025Hz with no scan-induced vibrations observed in the fast axis. However, an image was not recorded at this rate due to the bandwidth limitation of the data acquisition system and the vertical feedback controller. In the future, these two limiting factors will be improved to facilitate high-speed scanning.

FE simulations show that the resonance frequencies of the X and Y axes appear at 20kHz which is different from the experimental results. The 10kHz resonant peak was arisen from the base which was not properly simulated in the initial FE model. The base will be redesigned in the near future to achieve higher scan rates.
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Fig. 8: Scan images of the XYZ scanner in 2D (top) and 3D (middle) together with their time signals (bottom).